

5. Whether claim 11 is rejectable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitt in view of Kosinski and Chapman as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Yokoyama?

6. Whether claims 15-26 are rejectable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mück or Pitt in view of Kosinski, Chapman and Yokoyama?

7. Whether claims 16 and 24 which requires from 0.1 to 3.0% by weight of colorants selected from the group consisting of white pigments, black pigments, and color pigments are patentable?

8. Whether claim 17 which further limits claim 16 (requires from 0.1 to 3.0% by weight of colorants selected from the group consisting of white pigments, black pigments, and color pigments) and requires wherein the colorants carry a coating of an alkali metal salt of a fatty acid having at least 12 carbon atoms is patentable?

9. Whether claim 18 which requires the polyacetal copolymer comprises from 0.1 to 10 mol% of oxyethylene units is patentable?

10. Whether claim 22 which further limits claim 16 (requires from 0.1 to 3.0% by weight of colorants selected from the group consisting of white pigments, black pigments, and color pigments) and further requires the polyacetal copolymer comprises from 1.0 to 2.5 mol% of oxyethylene units is patentable?

11. Whether claims 25 and 26 which is directed to a process for reducing the formaldehyde emission of colored molding compositions made from polyacetal copolymer and requires the specific colorant selected from the group consisting of white pigments, black pigments, and color pigments are patentable.